January 19, 2010

Meeting called to order at 8:15 p.m. .

Present: J. Marot, G. Kashgagian, K. St. George and Brian Hoeg

Cedar Pond

Atty. Jacobi – I am here on behalf of Ron Turowitz. As I am sure you all know this is Dan Aguiar from SITEC. You had requested that he come in to your meeting to address some concerns that the Board had concerning Cedar Pond. . Dan – I have brought copies of all of the plans which are on record at the Registry of Deeds. I am sure that you have some of these. As I am sure that you are aware Chris and I have reviewed the drainage. We inspected all of them and they seem to be working correctly. That is all expect Quequechan. We did discuss this on Thursday. Chris – we have discussed it and Ron will have to have this finalized. Dan – we have a lot of work to do and nothing will be done on any of those lots until the drainage issue has been okay with Chris, Conservation and the Planning Board. We have built a few temporary drainage ponds they are actually two depressions in the roadway that are to capture what is in the area. Chris – I would ask that this be burmed up in the spring. Dan – 9 areas were cleaned and were okay. We will look at these again (30 & 31) One would not be built upon. We will not be building on 31 until the drainage issues have been resolved. At worst we might not be able to do anything on 22B. We agree we will not build on this lot. We will not add to the water shed until this is resolved. Jacobi – the sale has been held up concerning the 5 lots that were being sold to Mark Carroll that we had previously discussed with the Board. As it stands now we believe that this will be concluded by April or May. We will have closed with Mark and it will take the bank out of the 3rd party agreement. Dan -18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 are the one that will work for me. Jacobi – Ron can't build until this is taken care of. Conservation is waiting as well. This is a copy of the plan to Conservation.

Glenn Chistolini – This is a copy of what is being suggested. At this time I am working with T-Mobile. They have picked a spot. It will be approximately 175 feet. The contract addresses the fact that I would like free access for the Highway Department, Fire Department and Police Department. The area has already been surveyed. Jim – have you discussed this with the Board of Selectmen? Glenn – I have discussed it with one of them. Jim – I think it is a good. How does the Board feel? Board was in agreement with Jim.

Form A – 59 Pierce Avenue

David Cass – I am here on behalf of Roger Stewart. His land is at 59 Pierce Avenue. There is approximately 54 acres. They would like to cut out a lot for their daughter. Kevin I make a motion that we sign the plan as submitted. Brian – 2^{nd} the motion. Jim – all in favor. Vote was unanimous. Check in the amount of \$100.00 was received.

Darlene Green – ZBA Petition

My name is Paul and I am here to try and help the Greens' and at the same time answer any questions that the Board may have. The plans were originally drawn up by Collins Engineering. This is showing the alterations to the original prior plan. We have removed the pool and shed and some of the clutter. That plan has outlined the existing buildings. It will remain a 2 story cottage. There will be no attic or storage. It remains a 2 bedroom home. It shows the height as 35 feel it was originally 16 feet. It is 222 feet. It shows the driveway dimensions. Currently 1397 it will be 1514. It complies with the 25 foot setback. There is an existing pad and foundation. It will remain a 2 bedroom home. Jim – thanks for the presentation. It helps us to understand. Kevin - there wasn't enough information when we originally saw the plan. Paul – I hope this clears up the questions that you had. Jim – any provisions for storm water. Paul – Conservation did not have a problem. Mr. Green – there was a swimming pool and she but they have been removed. Brian – I make a motion that we recommend approval of the plan dated revised as of 1/19/2010. Kevin – 2nd the motion. Jim – all in favor.

Emery Orrall – Gravel Removal

Where is the plan going. Chris – he plans to come out to 79. There is an existing rail under here. This is at Crooked Lane & 79. He was not sure exactly. Jim – he is talking taking 10 feet of the hill. My concern is where it is going to go. It is a fairly steep grade. Greg – he will need a tracking pad, there is no mention of time and any limits, his hours of operation and who is going to be responsible for the cleaning of the road, wet land flags and truck route signs. Jim – Since the wetland flagging has been done by the applicant it would appear to be a conflict of interest. Greg I make a motion that we send a letter to the Board of Selectmen noting our concerns – route that trucks will be taking – hours of operation – who will be responsible for the cleaning and maintenance of the road – tracking pad and wetland flagging – conflict.

Meeting

Pauline – Is February 9, 2010 okay for the next meeting. Jim – I don't see a problem.

Greg – I make a motion that we adjourn. Kevin – 2^{nd} the motion. Jim – all in favor. Meeting adjourned at 9:45 p.m.